

ANTI-APARTHEID REPORT * I

NEW BRUNSWICK COALITION IN SOLIDARITY WITH SOUTHERN AFRICAN LIBERATION

RUTGERS' STAKE IN APARTHEID

"U.S. corporate involvement in South Africa". A phrase that's been thrown around quite a bit. Sounds very big business-like and far away, doesn't it? Let's bring it closer to home. At present, Rutgers University, an "institute of higher learning", is counted as an investor in 25 of those companies which have interests in South Africa.

IMPLICATIONS OF ECONOMIC INVOLVEMENT

What does this mean? Investment by a corporation in South Africa can do nothing but reinforce the white minority rule and the policy of apartheid it has instituted; a policy which is nothing short of the legalization of racism. Apartheid is the legal imposition of an inferior status on a group of people on the sole basis of their race. In this case, the "group" constitutes approximately 80% of the country's population, and is made up of Africans, Asians, and "coloreds" (anyone of mixed 'race)—that is, any non-whilte. U.S. corporate presence supports this regime with its capital and its passing on of technological skills to the whites only. Withdrawal of investments, or "divestiture"—which has been the demand of the Africans in South Africa—is a sanction against the present regime in refusing to support or strengthen its economy, which is necessary for the government to continue to uphold apartheid.

The South African Information Service, a New York-based South African government organization, advertizes very effectively for foreign corporate involvement. It claims that South Africa "offers the richest return on American capital abroad except for foreign oilfields" because the situation offers a "Western free-enterprise system with political stability". What stability that exists is one imposed by the white government and apartheid, and rich returns due, in a large measure, to the incredibly cheap labor provided by the non-whites.

In 1972 the average white worker was earning 13 times more than the average non-white worker. In the same year 84% of all African workers were found to be receiving less than, or just exactly, what was termed the EME (Effective Minimum Level) or the minimum salary necessary to maintain life, as were 1/2 of the colored population. The average monthly pay was found to be: in manufacturing, Africans \$84, whites \$493; in mining, Africans \$29, whites \$505; and in construction, Africans \$84, whites \$517.

RUTGERS CORPORATE INVESTMENTS

Rutgers University, by investing in the corporations involved in supporting the white supremacist South African economy, and therefore its government, is directly playing this same role. One of these companies is mobile to the companies of the same and the same role.

necessary to maintain life, as were i/2 of the colored population. average monthly pay was found to be: in manufacturing, Africans \$84, whites \$493; in mining, Africans \$29, whites \$595; and in construction, Africana 484, whites \$517.

RUTGERS' CORPORATE LAVESTMENTS

Mobil voil sopolicy is that a company must comply with the laws of the host country. YmThis avoids the central question of why those laws we made and who they served a tenfact. Mobil has called for greater investments in South africar which they say will lead to mare jobs, job mobility, and "human achievement," (which they don't define). However, we have already seen how these corporations derive their profits directly from the law warms that are resident Africans and ENFORCED fits directly from the low wages that are paid to Africans and ENFORCED by the large corporations. In 1973 the United Church of Christ

The request was signored. The request was signored.

requested that Mobil adopt "principles of fair employment".

NICE sering "FEE concented

Another one of the companies Rutgers holds stock in is General Motors, which holds about 14% of the total U.S. economic investments in South Africa. Over 90% of GM's African workers were found to be paid less than the Minimum Effective Level, as were nearly 80% of the colored workers. The same of t

General Electric, a third company in which Rutgers holds an interest, started its unskilled African workers at \$73 per month. Its white unskilled workers (notably less in number) began at \$1.86 per mcn month. The discrepancy is more than slight. Diolog sat 10 SAL elea da laire ala

All of these companies statistics show a preponderance of Africans, chlored and Asians in the least skilled, lowest paying positions. (The whites who fill similar positions are paid more, as just witnessed, despite the companies professions of "equal pay for equal work".) There are practically no non-whites in the highest positions and the supervisory work, in spite of their overwhelming majority in the actual workforce. In fact, by South African law, no non-white is allowed to hold a position higher than a white in the chain of command:

RUTGERS COMPLIES WITH WHITE SUPREMACY

Rutgers' active participation in the support of this system through its economic involvement in interested corporations not only oversteps its role as an institution of learning, but goes so far as to contradict its own policy and that of the United States government. in attempting to thwart racism, not foster it. In recent weeks the Congressional Black Caucus, the United Nations, church groups, and all of the major leaders of the African people in South Africa, as well as a number of white South Africans opposed to apartheid have called on corporations to divest themselves of their investments in South Africa.

RUTGERS WITHDRAWAL OF THOSE STOCKS IN CORPORATIONS THAT PROFIT FROM APARTHEID IS ABSOLUTELY IMPERATIVE AS A STATEMENT OF MORAL OUT-RAGE AT THE CONTINUING EXISTENCE OF WHITE SUPREMACY IN SOUTH AFRICA. IT IS ALSO IMPARATIVE AS A STATEMENT OF EDUCATIONAL INTEGRITY.

the Coalition in Solidarity with Southern African Liberation 932-7808 or 297-6077 yag videnor same t mining, efficiens \$29, whitee \$505; and in construction,

seem University, by investing in the corporations involved in supremelar, the white supremeist South Airlean comemy, and therefore its severment, is directly playing this same role, One of these com

University Investments in South Africa

Board of Trustees-Long Term Pool Par Value Industrial

250,000 Citicoro note 250,000 Exxon Pipeline Company

700,000 General Motors Acceptance Corp. 250,000 General Motors Acceptance Corp. 250,000 Manufacturers Hanover Corp. note

500,000 Phelps Dodge Corporation note 200,000 Singer Credit Corporation Sr. note

\$ 2,400,000 Total in interest bearing notes

interest Sensitive

6,000 Manufacturers Hanover Corporation 5,000 J.P. Morgan & Company

Special Growth

10,000 Dun & Bradstreet Companies

Commercial Paper

1,770,000 Ford Motor Credit Co. Demand Note

Shares Preferred Stock

5,000 AMAX, Inc.

Common Stock — Consumer

4,500 Coca Cola Company

4,000 Eastman Kodak

4,000 Ford Motor Company

10,239 General Motors Corp.

4,000 Gillette Company

100 Johnson & Johnson

10,200 Souibb Corporation

14,000 Warner-Lambert Company

Technology

6.250 IBM

Capital Goods

7,000 Allied Chemical

21,000 Dresser Industries

9,000 General Electric Company

5,000 Ingersoil Rand Company

Energy

5,385 Exxon 10,075 Mobil

10,000 Tenneco, Inc.

Board of Governors-Long Term Pool

Shares **Preferred Stock**

1,500 AMAX, Inc.

Common Stock — Consumer

800 Eastman Kodak

1,500 Gillette Company

Technology

800 IBM

Capitol Goods

1,400 Allied Chemical

3,000 Dresser Industries

1,400 General Electric Company

800 Ingersoll Rand Company

Energy

1.000 Exxon

Interest Sensitive

2,000 Manufacturers Hanover Corporation

Special Growth

2,000 Dun & Bradstreet Companies, Inc.

Commercial Paper

549,000 Ford Motor Credit Co. Demand Note

Rutgers University has between \$7 and \$10 million invested in firms operating in South Africa out of a total University portfolio worth almost \$30 million. The stock and bond heldings listed above are based on the latest available year end University investment report released on June 30, 1976.